

ARTICLE 8
PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS

8.1 General Principles. Performance evaluations are used to assess, recognize, and facilitate improvement in Employees’ performance. This strengthens the University’s workforce by providing a periodic and formal exchange of information between supervisors and employees regarding progress, accomplishments, and when applicable, areas needing improvement. Performance evaluations also provide an opportunity to clarify work standards, discuss training and development needs, set goals for the next year, and identify the support needed to reach such goals.

8.2 Purpose and Scope of Evaluation.

(a) Purpose. An annual evaluation is a subjective assessment of an individual’s performance based on objective criteria.

(1) Annual evaluations for faculty members focus on performance in functions such as teaching, research, service, and other duties that may be assigned.

(2) Annual evaluations for academic professionals focus on the performance of all assigned duties.

(3) In addition, all Employees are evaluated based on the terms of their individual contract, duties under the Collective Bargaining Agreement, and on their contributions to the orderly and effective functioning of the University and their academic department/unit.

(b) Scope.

(1) Evaluators should state goals for the upcoming year and should endeavor to assist the Employee in correcting any performance deficiencies reflected in the annual evaluation.

a. Employees are encouraged to accept and seek such assistance, if needed.

b. The Evaluator may informally coach or counsel the Employee with the goal of improving performance. Such advice is not disciplinary, nor may it be part of the evaluation file.

(2) Performance Evaluations must:

For the University

For the UFF

Alex Landback
Chief Negotiator

Myles Kim
Chief Negotiator

Date _____

Date _____

1 provide assistance and counseling in progressing toward promotion.

2 (3) The Evaluator shall include the promotion appraisal as a separate addendum
3 to the annual evaluation, but the promotion appraisal shall not be part of the
4 justification for the ratings assigned for the annual evaluation.

5 (4) Such appraisals regarding progress toward promotion are non-binding on
6 the University and shall not be subject to the grievance process.

7 (5) Such appraisals shall not be included in the employee’s personnel file and
8 shall not be considered during the promotion process.

9 **8.3** Annual Evaluation. Employees are evaluated at least once annually, except as described in
10 Sections 8.3(g) and (h).

11 (a) The annual evaluation period will cover all employment occurring from February
12 1 through January 31, regardless of the employment start date.

13 (b) The Employee must submit the Evaluation Information Sheet (see Section 8.7) to
14 the Evaluator, with a copy to the Provost’s Office, no later than February 15.

15 (c) On or before May 1, the Evaluator must:

16 (1) Complete the performance evaluation;

17 (2) Provide a copy of the Evaluation to the Employee, and;

18 (3) Discuss and review the evaluation with the Employee (the Employee may
19 choose to not discuss the evaluation). The discussion will be scheduled by
20 the Evaluator during normal business hours, unless both parties agree to a
21 meeting outside of normal business hours.

22 (d) On or before May 1, the Employee must acknowledge receipt of the performance
23 evaluation by signing the evaluation. The evaluation shall make clear to the
24 employee that this is only to acknowledge the receipt of the evaluation and does
25 not represent acceptance of the contents of the evaluation. The Evaluator must sign
26 the evaluation and submit the signed evaluation to the Provost’s Office.

27 (e) On or before May 15, the finalized performance evaluation must be added to the
28 Employee’s evaluation file in the Provost’s Office unless the employee and the
29 Provost’s Office have agreed to postpone a review meeting until after May 15 (see
30 8.6 (c)).

For the University

For the UFF

Alex Landback
Chief Negotiator

Myles Kim
Chief Negotiator

Date _____

Date _____

1 (f) If errors, omissions, or other documentable issues with the evaluation are
2 discovered, the University may issue a revised performance evaluation to replace
3 the original evaluation. However, if an error is objective (e.g. an SAI result or DFW
4 rate is misreported), the University will issue a revised performance evaluation to
5 replace the original evaluation. The Evaluator and Employee must acknowledge
6 receipt of the revised performance evaluation by signing the revised evaluation.
7 The University would retain both evaluations and would indicate on the original
8 evaluation that it had been replaced by the revised evaluation.

9 (g) The performance of an Employee must be evaluated annually, with the following
10 permissible exceptions:

11 (1) Employees that have resigned;

12 (2) Faculty members in the terminal year of the contract, or;

13 (3) Faculty members whose employment began less than ninety (90) days prior
14 to the end of the evaluation period.

15 **8.4** Probationary Evaluation. In addition to the annual evaluation, the academic professional
16 must receive a probationary evaluation after ninety (90) days of employment in their
17 position.

18 (a) In the absence of a completed probationary evaluation, a probationary employee
19 will default to a “satisfactory” rating.

20 (b) If the academic professional’s probationary period ends between October 1 and
21 January 30, the employee’s immediately following annual performance evaluation
22 may be skipped. If skipped, the employee must be evaluated during the next annual
23 evaluation period.

24 **8.5** Evaluators.

25 (a) Faculty Evaluators are the Department Chair or Division Director that has been
26 assigned personnel management responsibility by the Provost for the Employee’s
27 area. When the Evaluator is a Division Director, the Division Director will seek
28 advice and context from a department chair for each of the faculty members in the
29 unit. The Assistant Librarian and Wellness Counselor are evaluated by their
30 immediate supervisor.

31 (b) For faculty, the Provost will appoint an evaluation review panel which will consist

For the University

For the UFF

Alex Landback
Chief Negotiator

Myles Kim
Chief Negotiator

Date

Date

1 of Evaluators, and if the Faculty Representative Council chooses to do so, two
2 faculty members of senior rank (Associate Professor or Professor) appointed by the
3 Faculty Assembly. The purpose of the review is to ensure the Evaluators have
4 applied a consistent standard to all faculty members when conducting the
5 evaluations. The reviews prepared by Department Chairs or Division Directors may
6 change as a result of panel discussions. The Provost will serve as chair of the
7 evaluation review panel. All members of the evaluation review panel must agree to
8 the confidentiality of the review process.

9 **8.6** Evaluation Review.

- 10 (a) Within seven (7) calendar days of receipt of the evaluation, the faculty member
11 may request a review, in writing, with the Provost’s Office to discuss (with the
12 Provost or Provost’s designated administrator) concerns regarding the evaluation,
13 which were not resolved in previous discussions with the Evaluator.
- 14 (b) Within seven (7) calendar days of receipt of the evaluation, the academic
15 professional may request, in writing, a meeting with the administrator at the next
16 higher level in their line of authority to discuss concerns regarding the evaluation,
17 which were not resolved in previous discussions with the Evaluator.
- 18 (c) The evaluation reviews in (a) and (b) above must take place no later than May 15
19 unless both the Provost’s Office and the faculty member or academic professional
20 mutually agree to schedule the meeting after May 15.

21 **8.7** Evaluation Information Sheet. A sample Faculty Activity Report format is attached to this
22 contract in Appendix B. The Faculty Representative Council may provide the Provost with
23 recommended changes to the information sheet’s format no later than December 1 on an
24 annual basis. The Provost will communicate decisions on changes in the format to the
25 Faculty Representative Council by January 15. (See Appendix B).

26 **8.8** Sources of Faculty Evaluative Information. Evaluations are intended to be comprehensive
27 and not based on a single or limited number of sources of information.

- 28 (a) The Evaluator may consider all appropriate and available information that is
29 relevant to the Employee’s performance. This includes information provided by the
30 Employee and information provided from the following sources: Immediate
31 supervisor(s), peers, students, other University officials who have responsibility for
32 supervision of the faculty member, and members of the University community.

For the University

For the UFF

Alex Landback
Chief Negotiator

Myles Kim
Chief Negotiator

Date

Date

- 1 **(1)** Any materials or information used to evaluate a faculty member other than
- 2 that included in the faculty member’s dossier will be provided or explained
- 3 to the faculty member by the Evaluator during the evaluation meeting
- 4 offered pursuant to Section 8.3(c).
- 5 **(2)** Any materials or information that have not been disclosed to the faculty
- 6 member as described in 8.8(a)(1) cannot be used in the evaluation process.
- 7 **(b)** Records maintained for the purposes of any investigation of Employee misconduct,
- 8 including but not limited to a complaint against an Employee, including anonymous
- 9 complaints, and any final conclusions reached pursuant to the investigation of such
- 10 complaint may not be used or considered in the evaluation process until they are
- 11 considered final, pursuant to section 1012.91, Florida Statutes. Information that has
- 12 been validated that is a part of an investigation may be used, regardless of complaint
- 13 finding and the status of the complaint.
- 14 **(c)** Information from outside the evaluation period must not be considered in the
- 15 determination of the Employee’s evaluation rating. However, reference to prior
- 16 evaluations may be appropriately considered for the purpose of providing context
- 17 or comparison (such as meeting previously stated goals, or noting improvement,
- 18 consistency, or regression), if directly relevant to performance during the current
- 19 evaluation period.
- 20 **(d)** All employees may provide a written response and/or comments regarding their
- 21 evaluation and have it added to the evaluation file within sixty (60) days of the
- 22 receipt of the evaluation. All written material used to produce a performance
- 23 evaluation shall be included in the evaluation file.
- 24 **8.9** Evaluation Guidelines. The administration will develop a set of evaluation guidelines for
- 25 each of the faculty ranks that indicate performance characteristics appropriate to each
- 26 rating for teaching, scholarship, and service.
- 27 **(a)** Judgments of academic excellence are complex. Evaluation guidelines cannot
- 28 easily be reduced to a quantitative formula, nor can the considerations that must be
- 29 applied in each individual case be completely described in general terms or by
- 30 numbers alone, separate from necessary qualitative assessments. Therefore, the
- 31 guidelines are used to create consistency in ratings across the range of evaluators
- 32 and are specifically not a scoring rubric.

For the University	For the UFF
Alex Landback	Myles Kim
Chief Negotiator	Chief Negotiator
Date _____	Date _____

- 1 **(b)** The guidelines for a review period will be provided to the academic departments
- 2 by September 15 of the year prior to the beginning of the review period and the
- 3 departments will provide comments on the guidelines on or before November 1 of
- 4 that year. The comments provided must be approved by the majority vote of the
- 5 department. The vote must take place anonymously. By January 15, the review
- 6 evaluation panel will consider the department recommendations and provide a
- 7 recommendation to the Provost on evaluation guidelines to be used for the next
- 8 review cycle. The University must provide the final guidelines to faculty before the
- 9 review period begins.
- 10 **(c)** The scale for the evaluations is provided in the following table. The performance
- 11 rating will typically be used in setting salary increases as described in Article 12.

Evaluation Key	
Deficient	<i>Performance that does not meet an acceptable standard. This rating will typically require a supervisor and employee to develop a performance improvement plan.</i>
Needs Improvement	<i>Performance that is below a reasonable expectation for the person's job description.</i>
Meets Expectations	<i>Performance is sound and within reasonable expectations for the person's job description. Supervisors may add a "+" or a "-" to this rating as further indicators of an employees performance.</i>
Exceeds Expectations	<i>Performance that goes beyond the "Meets Expectations" standard in some significant way. The individual has performed at a level that provides distinction for themselves.</i>
Exemplary	<i>Performance that is extraordinary and reflects outstanding distinction for the individual.</i>

12 **8.10** Performance Improvement Plan.

- 13 **(a)** No employee in their terminal year of employment will be required to develop a

For the University	For the UFF
Alex Landback	Myles Kim
Chief Negotiator	Chief Negotiator
Date _____	Date _____

1 performance improvement plan.

2 (b) When an employee receives an overall evaluation of “Deficient,” they and their
3 supervisor will develop a performance improvement plan except in the situation
4 explained in 8.10 (a). The primary responsibility for putting together the plan lies
5 with the faculty member.

6 (c) When an employee receives an overall evaluation of “Needs Improvement” for two
7 consecutive years, they and their supervisor will develop a performance
8 improvement plan except in the situation explained in 8.10 (a).~~.)~~

9 (d) When an employee receives a “Needs Improvement” or “Deficient” rating in any
10 category used in the evaluation, they may request that they and their supervisor
11 develop a performance improvement plan. Such a request will be approved by the
12 supervisor except in the situation explained in 8.10 (a).

13 (e) The performance improvement plan will be developed by the employee, in
14 cooperation with his/her supervisor, and include specific performance targets and a
15 time period for achieving the targets. The performance improvement plan will be
16 approved by the President or representative. Specific resources identified in an
17 approved performance improvement plan will be identified, approved, and
18 provided by the university. ~~The university is under no obligation to provide~~
19 ~~resources requested by the faculty member.~~ The supervisor will meet periodically
20 with the employee to review progress toward meeting the performance targets. It is
21 the responsibility of the supervisor to use the performance improvement plan as the
22 part of the basis for the next annual evaluation.

23 **8.11** Evaluation File. Faculty members must refer to 6C13-6.008 Personnel Records and
24 Limited-Access Records regarding access to, and disclosure of, performance evaluations
25 and other faculty evaluative information.

For the University

For the UFF

Alex Landback
Chief Negotiator

Myles Kim
Chief Negotiator

Date _____

Date _____